

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,106	01/30/2004	Mihai Costea	MS1-1903US	9018
22801 7599 12/19/2008 LEE & HAYES, PLLC 601 W. RIVERSIDE AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			HOANG, DANIEL L	
SUITE 1400 SPOKANE, V	VA 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2436	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/769 106 COSTEA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DANIEL L. HOANG 2436 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 October 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.6-8.13-21 and 23-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 6-8, 13-21, 23-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/769,106 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments, see Arguments/Remarks, filed 10/06/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 14, 21 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Chen, US Patent No. 5960170.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Said claim recites the limitation "sending a don't-know-status when the file format of the input file was not recognized." Prior to said limitation, the claim cites "continuing to parse the input file until a component parser recognizes the file format of the input file." Therefore it seems that the file format of the input file will always be recognized. Thus the limitation of sending a don't-know-status cannot ever occur. It is unclear how the two limitations can coincide within the same claimed medium. Appropriate correction is required.
- Claims 14, 21, and depending claims with said limitation of "sending a don't-know-status" are also similarly rejected. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: Application/Control Number: 10/769,106
Art Unit: 2136

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- Claims 1, 7, 13-16, 20-21, 26, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen. US Patent No. 5960170

As per claim 1, 14, 21, Chen teaches:

A processor-readable medium comprising processor-executable instructions for:

parsing an input file to recognize a file format of the input file to recognize a file format of the input file,
wherein the parsing repeatedly parses once with each of a plurality of component parsers contained
within a compound parser, wherein each of the plurality of component parsers is configured for
recognition of a specific file format by which an input file is configured, wherein the compound parser is
extensible, and wherein extending the compound parser comprises adding an additional component
parser;

[see col. 11, lines 21-50, wherein each virus detection object is viewed as being analogous to the claimed component parsers and the collection of detection objects is viewed as the claimed compound parser and further the virus detection objects are iteratively produced which is being viewed as analogous to the parser being extensible.]

checking contents of the input file, according to the recognized file format if available, to determine whether executable code exists within the input file, wherein the checking comprises detecting executable code because its location within the input file is inconsistent with the recognized file format:

[see col. 11, lines 37-46]

continuing to parse the input file until a component parser recognizes the file format of the input file; and [see col. 13. lines 38-55]

sending a status in response to results of said checking, wherein sending a status comprises further instructions for:

Application/Control Number: 10/769,106 Page 4

Art Unit: 2136

sending a file-has-no-code status when the file format of the input file was recognized and no

executable code was found; and sending a file-has-code status when executable code was

found.

[see col. 8, lines 17-24, wherein notification is sent to the virus detection server as to

whether a virus has been detected]

As per claim 13, Chen teaches:

The processor-readable medium as recited in claim 11, additionally comprising further instructions for

continuing to parse the input file with all remaining component parsers after at least one component

parser recognizes the file format of the input file.

[see rejection of claim 1, wherein the virus detection objects are iteratively produced. Thus, there

are no remaining detection objects left after one successfully identifies the presence of a virus.]

As per claim 15, Chen teaches:

The processor-readable medium as recited in claim 1, wherein sending a status comprises further

instructions for: sending a file-has-no-code status when the file format of the input file was recognized and

no executable code was found; and sending a file-has-code status when executable code was found.

Isee col. 8, lines 17-241

As per claim 16, 22, Chen teaches:

The processor-readable medium as recited in claim 1, additionally comprising further instructions for

sending a don't-know status when the file format of the input file was not recognized.

[see col. 8, lines 17-24]

As per claim 20, Chen teaches:

Art Unit: 2136

The processor-readable medium as recited in claim 1, wherein parsing the input file comprises further instructions for parsing the input file repeatedly with a plurality of component parsers contained within an

extensible parser.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 26, Chen teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 21, additionally configured to send the status to: a firewall; a host

intrusion detector; or a host vulnerability assessor.

[see fig. 1, element 400]

As per claim 28, Chen teaches:

The processor-readable medium as recited in claim 1, wherein parsing the input file comprises

instructions for operating a parser configured to recognize a plurality of file formats.

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 29, Chen teaches:

The apparatus as recited in claim 21, wherein the compound parser is configured to allow extension by addition of a new component parser to the compound parser, wherein the new component parser

recognizes a further file format and recognizes executable code within the further file format.

[see col. 7, lines 20-25, Chen teaches a plurality of virus detection objects are produced by the virus detection server and transmitted to the client. These objects are viewed by examiner to be analogous to the claimed "component parsers." Col. 8, lines 1-5 cite that the objects contain

information allowing them to detect the type of viruses present at the client based on file type.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/769,106

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 30, Chen teaches:

The processor-readable medium as recited in claim 1, wherein adding an additional component parser comprises instructions for:

identifying a new file format, wherein ability to recognize the new file format is functionality to be extended to the compound parser; configuring a new component parser according to the new file format, wherein the new component parser is configured to recognize files of the new format and also to recognize executable code in files of the new format by locating executable code that is inconsistent with the new file format; and extending functionality of the compound parser by adding the new component parser to the compound parser.

[see col. 11, lines 21-50, wherein each iteratively produced virus detection object is tailored to include routines to detect viruses pertaining to a specific file type (ie. .exe or .doc) and wherein each object is produced and added to the already produced list of objects when it is determined that a virus has not yet been detected by the virus detection server.]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 6-8, 17-19, 23-25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen.

As per claim 6-8, 17-19, 23-25, 27:

Application/Control Number: 10/769,106

Art Unit: 2136

The processor-readable medium as recited in claim 1, wherein sending the status comprises further instructions for sending the status to an email program/instant messaging program/internet browsing program.

Chen teaches alerting one or more users/administrators but does not specifically mention whether said alert is sent via email, IM, or browser. Depending on the user's capabilities, examiner interprets that it would have been obvious to send said alert via any of the above applications or any other applicable application. Examiner further interprets that this is merely a design choice and a certain user system's functionality.

POINTS OF CONTACT

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.usplo.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, ontact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-917 (foll-free).

Art Unit: 2136

/Daniel L. Hoang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2436

/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436